UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
ROBERT WILLIAMS,)	3:14-CV-0174-VPC
Plaintiff,)	MINUTES OF THE CONTRET SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
vs.)	June 26, 2015 JUN 2 6 2015
CITY OF SPARKS, et al.,	JON 2 0 2013
Defendants.)	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA BY:
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. C	COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN	REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEAR	ING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEA	ARING
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:	
Plaintiff's motion for subpoena duces tecum	e (#40) is DENIED .
Even assuming <i>arguendo</i> that plaintiff's sub admissible evidence, the request is denied. Plaintiff <i>pauperis</i> (#10). In the order granting <i>in forma paup</i> order did "not extend to the issuance and service of Local Special Rule 1-8. Witness fees are not costs to litigant. <i>Dixon v. Ylst</i> , 990 F.2d 478, 480 (9th Cir. 1 serve subpoenas in civil cases, even if payment is mare responsible for making all arrangements for service. <i>See</i> Fed.R.Civ.P. 45; <i>Tedder v. Odel</i> , 890 F.2d 210, U.S.C. § 1915 does not entitle a plaintiff proceeding fees for subpoenas)	f is proceeding in this action <i>in forma peris</i> status, plaintiff was advised that the subpoenas at government expense." <i>Id.</i> the court will bear on behalf of an indigent 1992). Moreover, the U.S. Marshal does not 1992. Therefore, plaintiff would be 1992, the expense of service, and the witness fee. 1993 (211-12 (9th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) (28)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk